



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

320.56
On 1



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.33

August 26th, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

The Luddites Did Not Want to Tend Machines for WAGES! The following is from recent correspondence between social crediters Wallace Klinck of Canada and Dr. Frances Hutchinson, United Kingdom: "I've just glanced at your latest message, and a sentence jumped out at me: The Luddites smashed the machines because tending them for wages was the only basis of economic security (marginal as it was) known to them.

The Luddites did not, and did not want to, tend machines for wages. They saw their multiple income source way of life was being smashed by the machines. They spun and wove cloth in their cottages, selling it to outsourcers for cash, not wages, while at the same time they retained plots of land for basic foods. They built their own homes/workshops and hated the new 'manufactories' with the inhuman working and living conditions they brought.

The financial price-system which lies at the heart of the problem came in from the earliest days of enclosure (15/16 century) when an oligarchy was able to set prices for wool. They also smashed the guilds, who were in truth guarantors of good work conditions and methods. The early machines were technical marvels, but they still had to be manned. And they were manned by forced labour. Basically, it is time to revise some of the assumptions presented to us by the orthodox version of history." (Reread "Obama Observes: Technology a Cause of Unemployment" <http://www.alor.org/Volume47/Vol47No25.htm>) – **Frances Hutchinson, 17th June 2011**

"Thanks for this observation. I can understand why the sentence "jumped out at you"! Of course, you are entirely correct. The Luddites smashed the new textile machines because they feared, quite rightly, that their traditional cottage or home spinning and weaving industry was being threatened by the new technology that could be operated by cheap labour..." – **Wallace Klinck, Canada**

"If this is the best hope that former Labor faction leader Stephen Loosley can offer, Labor is toast: "Next year, carbon pricing becomes a reality. Contrary to opposition charges, the sky will not fall and Western civilisation will not end. On the contrary, Australian leadership on this issue brings opportunity for Australians, just as the Hawke-Keating economic liberalisation not only led globally, but also resulted in many Australians becoming skilled and active participants in international markets."

Andrew's comment: Loosley is spending too much time in the company of merchant bankers. – **Andrew Bolt's Blog, 15th August 2011**

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *The London Riots And The Big Picture* • *Australia: The Last Carbon Taxer, As Seen By The World* • *Chinese Miners Pledge "We'll Leave No Trace"* • *The Wonders Of Copper*

"ON TARGET"

August 26th, 2011

Page 1 (129)

THE LONDON RIOTS AND THE BIG PICTURE taken from Gilda Atzmon's webpage: Upon reading the following, one comes to the conclusion this man is not far from Christian/ Social Credit concepts. Dr. Frances Hutchinson dealt with the Pyramid of Power (Mr. Atzmon writes about that pyramid below) at the very recent Australian League of Rights seminar held in Adelaide, South Australia. The seminar was filmed and the DVD will be available at a later date. Now to Gilad Atzmon in "Analysis, Britain, Cultural Criticism:

"It is astonishing to find that the British press that is so quick to tell us about the 'true' nature and motivations behind each mass protest in the Arab world, is somehow intellectually lame in its attempt to grasp their own huge scale riots at home. Until now, I have failed to see even a single worthy analytical attempt to understand the full meaning or significance of the current violent events taking place on the streets of cities all over the U.K. British papers have been outlining the events as being driven by, associated with, and defined by hooliganism. They talk to the victims, and sometime even manage to interview some protagonists and perpetrators.

But, amongst such shallow, sensationalist coverage, we are still missing the most important information. What is the demography of the riots? Who is leading it? Does it have any leaders? Is there an ideology behind it all? Why do they loot, what do they loot, and from whom do they loot? And most importantly, what is the meaning of it all?

The events we saw in the past week in London, Birmingham, Liverpool, Bristol and Manchester were possible signs of disintegration within British society. Some sectors within the society were clearly saying "we have had enough of it." The truth is that these people we see rioting on our streets have been drifting away for quite some time, and no one has shown any concern, and now they are clearly not interested anymore in obedience to any notions of law and order. They do not see any great value in it. And the reason for that may be simple – there is simply not much in it for them.

What we see in Britain is not a political protest. It is not a battle with any coherent call for justice. Neither is it an outburst of mere racial hatred. It is none of those things – and yet, considered in its entirety, it comprises and manifests all of those factors at once. It is actually a rejection of the entire system. It is a clear manifestation and forceful expression of generations who have lost all hope in a society that does not convey any prospect of a future for them – what we now see in British cities is young people who are putting the current system on trial. It is a spontaneous eruption of a demand for recognition.

For the obvious reasons not many in Britain are willing to listen to the desperate and urgent message voiced by the deprived. But I think that we must try to understand what is going on here.

If you want to know why the British media fail to understand what is happening, the answer is fairly straightforward – though they are there to transmit an image and appearance of freedom of speech, liberty and the spirit of enlightenment, large parts of the British media are deeply embedded as an inherent part of a wider system which they serve and bolster.

As a nation, we claim to believe in democracy – though we often enough kill en masse in the name of freedom. Closer to the truth is the fact that we are submerged in a culture of self-love, and hence, we simply find it impossible to imagine that anyone within our proximity would dismiss our 'greatness'. And yet, here is the bad news – it is clearly all spinning out of control now – for far too long, we have been celebrating our 'greatness' at the expense of our underprivileged neighbours. Clearly, our next-door neighbours do not buy into this notion of freedom and liberty, since they do not possess the means to celebrate such freedom: they are left out, excluded from the game.

When I was young, I used to wonder what I would do when I had to finally support myself, and indeed, there were many options to choose from: education was available for most of us; but more

than anything else, there were jobs to be found, since there were many different industries. I knew, for instance, that I would always be able to at least settle in a factory job and support myself and my future family. But Britain doesn't offer any of that anymore. There are no jobs. There are no industries, and from this year, higher education will not be a viable option for countless youngsters. Tragically enough, our politicians do not appear to be at all concerned with these matters: British politicians, much like other Western politicians, seem impervious to the austere challenges faced by our youth, and the implications of all of these events for their future and their sense of hope. It has been convincingly argued that Western Liberal democracy is simply there to merely set parameters, and to create the appropriate conditions for big businesses. Such a state of affairs is certainly true in Britain. The democratic system in Britain can be more accurately described as a form of subtle, perfidious and devious political oppression that simply gives the appearance of the 'true reflection of our own will'. It gives the false impression that ultimately, the current order is nothing but fulfilment and reflection of 'our own personal choice'.

The truth of the matter is actually far simpler – the Western political system is there to maintain consumerism, and to keep big business going. Our civil freedom is reduced to a simple set of entitlements: we are free to consume, to buy, to spend, to purchase, to acquire, to lease, to hire, and to rent. And yet, there is a big problem here that will not go away, because as we move up the ladder of our consumer existence, more and more people are falling behind. As the more fortunate among us proceed upward, more and more youngsters are realising that they will never even be able to join the game.

On the one hand we are subject to a 'dictatorship of commoditisation'; we are trained to identify with a set of gadgets and brands, and yet, on the other hand, an increasing number of the people around us are left out – they can barely afford to possess these objects of desire, and find themselves removed from the 'identity game'. They become faceless, their existence denied, left to wander, ghost-like, wrapped in training suits in a society driven by ruthless hard capitalism and sheer greed.

Such a reading of the riots in London may well help us to grasp the fact that many looters were apparently happy to be pictured by the press as they were seen in the streets with their new possessions. For the first time, they also had a chance to join the Western 'symbolic order'. They smile at the camera, showing off their entry card into our society. They don't want to be faceless anymore – they insist to be seen.

Lamentably enough, British politicians seem to be very enthusiastic about 'moral interventionism' in other countries. But I believe that the time is ripe for Britain to be subjected to a true form of moral interventionism – an influx of spiritual ideas that would redeem us all from mammon-seeking and hard Capitalism. Yet it is increasingly clear that within the British political spectrum we will not find any such force that could lead to such a transformation, and that is indeed both a volatile and tragic situation.

Source: <http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/gilad-atzmon-london-riots-and-the-big-picture.html>

AUSTRALIA: THE LAST CARBON TAXER, AS SEEN BY THE REST OF WORLD: "The Last Carbon Taxer", *Wall Street Journal*: Review & Outlook Asia, July 17, 2011: "Carbon cap and trade is dead in America, the Chicago emissions trading exchange has folded, and European nations keep fudging on their Kyoto Protocol promises. But Al Gore's great green hope still has a champion: Australian Prime Minister Julia Gillard, who announced last week that her government will impose a cap-and-tax regime.

Her Labor Party-led coalition wants 500 of the country's "biggest polluters" to buy carbon permits issued by the government, starting next year. Canberra would then create new bureaucracies to

re-allocate that money to interest groups and selected businesses, to the tune of billions of dollars annually.

The news has caused a public uproar-not least because Ms. Gillard ran and won last year on an explicit promise not to pursue such policies. She ousted her predecessor in a backroom coup after his popularity tanked because of climate-change boosterism and promises to raise taxes. But Ms. Gillard's Green coalition partners hold the balance of power in parliament and pushed hard for cap and trade. The PM caved and has now been labelled "Juliar" in the popular press.

The Gillard government estimates its plan will increase electricity costs by 10% and gasoline by 9%-increases it calls "modest." That's easy for politicians to say. In a nationwide poll taken after the announcement, 60% of voters opposed the tax and 68% said they'd be financially worse off because of it. Ms. Gillard's popularity has plumbed new lows.

The plan is economically damaging enough that even the normally timid business lobby-many of whose members originally supported climate-change legislation-is speaking up. Opposition leader Tony Abbott slammed the plan as "socialism masquerading as environmentalism," and he has a point. The government plans to use some of the carbon tax receipts to triple the income threshold before the income tax hits. In other words, this is in part a scheme to redistribute income from energy users to Labor voters. It is an odd kind of tax reform that narrows the tax base.

All of this for negligible environmental benefits. Australia emits 1.5% of the world's greenhouse gases. Even if the country cut its emissions to zero, the move would do little to reduce global emissions. Australia's per-capita emissions are high compared to other developed nations because it's a sparsely populated continent blessed with an abundance of natural resources. Aussies have developed profitable, world-class natural resource and energy businesses that have lifted incomes at home and helped supply developing countries like China and India. This is bad? It is if you believe in the theology that loathes carbon fuels and wants government to allocate the means of power production. In a speech Thursday, Ms. Gillard vowed to press forward with cap and tax and said that her convictions are "very deeply held."

We'll see if her government can survive them."

CHINESE MINERS PLEDGE: "WE'LL LEAVE NO TRACE" by Peter West: No trace of what? Australia? No, that is not what they said, but what I misinterpreted from alarm at seeing the headline ("We'll Leave No Trace, Chinese Miners Pledge", *The Australian*, June 29, 2011, p.8) The Chinese government-controlled mining corporation Shenhua Watermark Coal, who have bought up vast expanses of prime farmland in northern New South Wales, have said that when they have finished coalmining in 30 years time "they will leave no trace". Local mining groups disagree and see pollution contaminating underground aquifers and fertile soil. Farming communities will be crushed. But for a "few dollars more" people have allowed this to happen. Australians deserve to starve if they don't take a stand against this.

THE WONDERS OF COPPER by Chris Knight: The results of a study on the use of antimicrobial copper surfaces in hospitals was presented at the World Health Organisation's 1st International Conference on Prevention and Infection Control in Geneva Switzerland. (*Natural News*, July 15, 2011) It was found that these surfaces reduced the rate of hospital-acquired infections by 40% and that the surface kills 97% of bacteria, along with viruses and fungal pathogens. This includes a number of pathogens that are multiple drug resistant. This is yet another example of common folk medicine practice turning out to have scientific validity.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.